## THE BOROUGH OF MCKEES ROCKS ZONING AMENDMENT MEETING

JULY 10, 2018

7:00 P.M.

- - -

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

- - -

Hearing was held at the Borough of McKees
Rocks Council Chambers, 340 Bell Avenue, McKees
Rocks, Pennsylvania 15136.

- - -

Page 2 On behalf of the Applicant, Greenville Commercial 1 Properties: 2 3 William R. Sittig, Jr., Esquire Sittig Cortese, LLC 4 5 1500 Frick Building 437 Grant Street 7 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219 8 9 10 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD: 11 Paul Krisby, President 12 13 Kathy Evich, Vice President (via phone) 14 Mayor John Muhr 15 Shallegra Moye 16 Elizabeth Delgado Maribeth L. Taylor 17 Leslie Gee 18 19 Craig Meyers 20 Sarah Harvey 21 Joe Mixter 22 23 ALSO PRESENT: 24 Solicitor Megan Turnbull

Leeann Wozniak, Administrative Assistant

25

	Page (
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	MR. KRISBY: Good evening, everybody.
4	Good evening, everybody.
5	SOLICITOR TURNBULL: Roll call, please.
6	MS. WOZNIAK: Council Mixter.
7	MR. MIXTER: Here.
8	MS. WOZNIAK: Council Harvey.
9	MS. HARVEY: Here.
10	MS. WOZNIAK: Council Meyers.
11	MR. MEYERS: Here.
12	MS. WOZNIAK: Vice President Evich.
13	MS. EVICH: Here.
14	MS. WOZNIAK: President Krisby.
15	MR. KRISBY: Here.
16	MS. WOZNIAK: Council Gee.
17	MS. GEE: Here.
18	MS. WOZNIAK: Council Taylor.
19	MS. TAYLOR: Here.
20	MS. WOZNIAK: Council Delgado.
21	MR. DELGADO: Here.
22	MS. WOZNIAK: Council Moye.
23	MS. MOYE: Here.
24	MS. WOZNIAK: Mayor Muhr.
25	MAYOR MUHR: Here.

court reporter to swear in anyone who thinks that they're going to deliver testimony or kind of address council. We'll do those one at a time. But the applicant will get the opportunity to go first, and then we'll kind of go through that.

2.3

24

25

The purpose this evening is for the

audience, and anyone who's interested, to

deliver information that you believe will be

helpful to council in their decision whether

or not to change the current zoning

amendment in a manner that's consistent with

the applicant's request.

2.1

2.3

The sideways comments are not helpful in terms of the audience participation.

We're going to go one at a time. For these purposes, I'm going to service the hearing officer. And so I'm going to acknowledge each person. If it gets a little rowdy, if it gets unruly, that's not helpful to anyone, particularly the court reporter who has to write down every single word that we say this evening. And so in that case, I will stop it and try to redirect it. If it becomes too much, we'll take some other steps.

But my hope is that we'll kind of go through this, everyone will have their opportunity to say their peace. Comments generally other than the applicant, are limited to three minutes to the extent that

1 you can, and I'll be judicious about that.

2 So with that being said, I'm going to read

3 the caption of the ordinance amendment that

4 we're considering this evening.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

An ordinance of the borough of McKees Rocks County of Allegheny Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, amending the ordinance adopted December 18, 1974, as amended on June 6, 1994, and known as the McKees Rocks borough zoning ordinance, to define gasoline stations and to provide for gasoline stations as a permitted use in the CM commercial manufacturing district and the I-1 industrial district, to define tractor-trailer fueling stations and to provide for tractor-trailer fueling stations as a permitted use in the I-1 industrial district, and to define high-rise fuel signs, and to provide for high-rise fuel signs as the accessory use with tractor-trailer fueling stations. To regulate the height, size, location of high-rise fuel signs to permit additional height for light fixtures for gas stations and tractor-trailer fueling stations.

clarify that the planning commission shall
determine whether lighting shall cause
excessive glare and whether screening if
appropriate in providing supplemental
regulations for gas stations and
tractor-trailer fueling stations.

2.1

2.3

So that's what council's considering this evening. And the text that goes along with it will be given to the court reporter to be made part of the record.

The incident request was created by virtue of an application by Greenville commercial properties on April 25, 2018. A copy of the letter and the transmittals that were submitted at that time are also made part of the record. This hearing was appropriately advertised in the newspaper general circulation twice, consistent with the MPC and the borough of zoning ordinance. Proof of that publication will also be made part of the record.

The entire zoning ordinance amendment that we're considering this evening was also advertised in its entirety in the Post-Gazette, and a copy of that proof of

1 publication is also made part of the record.

2 That advertisement was on June 26, 2018. We

deem that to be appropriate under the MPC

4 and the borough zoning ordinance.

2.1

2.3

The planning commission, again, consistent with the standards and the process that we follow, had a public hearing under the Sunshine Law on May 17, 2018, where they considered the amendments that council will consider this evening. On that evening, the planning commission voted to approve the zoning text amendments as presented, and that was communicated to the borough and to council via letter, dated May 22, 2018.

That also is made part of the record.

And then finally, another procedural step that the borough had to go through and did, in fact, do was communicate a copy of the proposed text amendment to the Allegheny County planning department. We did that within the time period so prescribed and received a comment letter back on June 15, 2018, from Kate Pierce, who's the manager of the planning division. That letter is also

1 made part of the record this evening.

2.1

2.3

So those are the pieces of paper that are before council and made part of the record. And with that I'll ask the court reporter to swear in anyone that believes that they might give us testimony or comments this evening.

(Witnesses sworn.)

SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: And with that, the floor goes to the applicant.

MR. SITTIG: Good evening. My name is
Bill Sittig, and I'm representing the
applicant, Greenville Commercial Properties,
LP.

I have copies of the package that was submitted with the application. I don't know if that would be helpful to council to each have. And I'd also just like to in the record -- just have a copy of this package put into the record.

I'm going to go over some background you-all are probably aware of, but this application was started as a proposal, which it's initiated because of an interest by Speedway and developing a modern gas station

1 facility with truck fueling on the site near 2 the new CSX terminal. We went to the zoning hearing board. And in fact, the zoning 3 hearing board granted us the relief that was 4 5 It was recognized at that time that not unlike a lot of ordinances throughout 7 the Commonwealth, certainly Allegheny County, the ordinance is dealing with modern 8 9 gas stations that haven't been updated. 10 They are based on the old model of gas 11 stations when I was growing up, which was 12 repairs and garages.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

So when the zoning board considered this, it was a realization that this use wasn't really recognized. The other thing was was that those gas stations were typically put in a general commercial area or a local neighborhood commercial area.

Facilities are bigger now. And typically -- and you'll see in the definition that we have it includes a convenience store.

That's the model. There's been an evolution.

25 And there are cases throughout the

1	Commonwealth that have followed the
2	evolution of gas stations through self-serve
3	and canopies, small kiosks, and now the
4	modern convenient stores seem to be growing,
5	so we're updating that. The other thing is
6	since they're bigger and since this involves
7	at least a proposed Speedway, involves heavy
8	trucks that the ordinance just previously
9	put in the general commercial area, which is
10	essentially the business area on an island,
11	what we're looking at is also including
12	these definitions in the commercial
13	manufacturing district, which is the higher
14	density, higher impact district, and then
15	the industrial district.

So we've tried to do it since there was a challenge to what the zoning board did.

And by the way, the planning commission at that time, too, also, we had a site plan for Speedway, a land development plan. In addition to that, the planning commission had recommended approval of that. Well, that was put on hold, the table, because we got waylaid by the EPA. So we started over and said, okay, we need to modernize the

1	zoning ordinance. We need to describe
2	exactly what we're doing and that led to
3	this amendment.

2.1

2.3

Assuming you're all familiar with the site and this is all related to the intermodal opportunity, and what Greenville is proposing is that this is one of the starters. In addition to this gas station facility, they're proposing to have a speck commercial building, light industrial building of employees. And this will jump-start. It's a natural -- as you will see in the narrative that I did, you'll read through, will give some of the history on the CSX facility and how this site developed. It's a \$70 million intermodal terminal.

And the idea is to enable through this intermodal facility to provide an anticipated 50,000 trucks and lifts coming in and out of the CSX terminal every year. So there's undoubtedly going to be all of these -- not only the cars that are traveling in the area, but this is an opportunity to capture those trucks and do

- 1 this complimentary use for the CSX facility.
- 2 Also, jump-start the development of the
- 3 remainder of the 35 acres. It's a

2.1

2.3

4 nationally significant facility that we have

5 here. I mean, it's a great opportunity.

Despite that, with the opportunities in the marketplace on light industrial and on light manufacturing facilities, we see what's happened there. The infrastructure's there. All of those roads are done. It's for heavy-duty, heavy traffic. It's for tractor-trailers. All of the infrastructure utilities are in there for what's being proposed. The intermodal is busy related to ancillary things that are generating, that is what we're looking to do.

And the idea is this, especially the opportunity of this will provide with the speck building is that this will jump-start this and take advantage of the economic opportunity that's been created.

If you wouldn't mind, I'd like to just go through -- it's not easy to follow what we're doing and it's right after the narrative in your package, there's actually

a copy of the ordinance that Ms. Turnbull
read the introduction to. But when you go
to page 2, it'll show exactly what it is
that we're doing with the ordinance.

2.1

2.3

And we're looking to introduce -- use two definitions in the ordinance. One is to update the gasoline station ordinance. And instead of getting away from changing tires and mufflers and doing the repair, it's modernizing it to what it really is now, is that it's selling motor fuels, related projects in -- such as convenience stores, food stores. It's not going to be grocery-store size.

But, actually, the Speedway proposed facility is less than 10,000 square feet, but we left some room in the event that somebody wanted — the movement seems to be toward bigger convenience stores, so there's a little bit of latitude in this beyond what Speedway's proposing. So that would be plugged into the CM district, which is the commercial manufacturing district. It's a heavy district. It's one level up from a simple commercial district. But there's no

1	-	tractor-trailer fueling station in the CM
2	2	district. That's reserved for the next
3	3	definition, which is the tractor-trailer
4	1	fueling station. It's the same definition,
-	5	except it allows for tractor-trailer fuels.
6	Ō	And the regular gas station that could be
7	7	diesel fuel, but could be for regular
8	3	commercial passenger vehicles and things
Ç	)	like construction vehicles. The
10	)	tractor-trailers will be limited to the

industrial district.

Now, why that's relevant to what we're doing -- it's not only relevant from a scale standpoint that you put tractor-trailers in your industrial district, but it also fits the site.

What I'm showing you here as part of your package is the map of the proposed site for the speedway. And you'll see that Island Avenue is at the bottom of the page and then the entry frontage on Island Avenue, which will be basically green space and a monument sign out front, is in that CM district. And there will be no tractor-trailer fueling there. This is just

1 -- and this will be where the passenger 2 vehicles go. And you go into the site, the 3 convenience store will actually be in the industrial section of the site. And to the 4 5 north and off the page is where the railroad 6 tracks are. And CSX is off the page to the 7 left. So this pink mauve area is the 8 industrial area, and that's where all the 9 truck activity will take place. That's the 10 rational for putting tractor-trailers in the 11 I district with the lesser impact passenger 12 vehicles in the CM, the blue district.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

We've also, then, in the next

definition, to allow for a high-rise sign.

And you'll see with other regulations. The

idea is -- and I don't know if you've looked

at the Neville Island sign. This sign will

be lower than the Neville Island sign. It's

only because the McKees Rocks Bridge is not

as high as the 79 Bridge in Neville Island.

But the idea is to capture that truck

traffic coming across. It's very, very -
it's very price sensitive on tractor-trailer

and diesel fuel. They use a lot of fuel.

And we also don't want those trucks to get

- 1 lost as they come through the borough.
- 2 They're going to come off the bridge, come
- down the island. And while there will be
- 4 some monument signs as they're coming off
- 5 the bridge, they could essentially be past
- 6 that intersection.

7 So we want to do it as efficiently, as

8 effectively, and as safely as possible to

9 make sure that those trucks can get into the

site. So what we're proposing is a

11 high-rise fueling station signs. Now, those

signs would only be permitted in the

industrial district. Again, not only on

this piece of property but in any industrial

district that's associated with fueling

16 stations.

Then -- we also then permit -- what we try to do is model the sign on the Neville

19 Island sign to give everybody an idea. So

we're proposing that that sign, again, will

21 be located in this area toward the tracks,

would be as big as 750 square feet.

We got a comment from the County that

that's as big as a billboard from Lamar. We

don't doubt that. To put it into that

category, to revise that square footage down isn't a big deal. But again, we're trying to model it after the Neville Island sign, which we think that has been a very successful station for that community, and, you know, has been very safely operated from the traffic coming off 79 and into Neville Island.

2.1

2.3

The next ordinance section on the supplementary sign regulations is to allow, as we were saying, an exception for above the 30 feet island signs, to allow to go to 110 feet. Again, now that I actually cited it, 110 is comfortable, probably a little bit -- we don't want to have to go to the zoning board for a variance. That sounds more likely it would be in the 90-feet range, but we want to make sure that we provide enough cushion.

Then in the supplementary sign regulations, your ordinance, make sure everything is off the property line by at least 3 feet. We wanted to add that directional signs can be closer on the property lines, especially -- especially on

the sidewalk of the driveways. We want to

be able to mark the entrance, so the smaller

directional signs that are going to be

important to marking where the cars go,

they're allowed to be closer than 3 feet to

the property line, so that's a minor add.

2.1

And the next supplementary sign regulation, we wanted to make sure that there's a separation from residential areas. We're very -- and looking at the site, although it's not residentially zoned in this area, we have residential neighbors most proximate here, and we're very concerned that we operate in a manner that takes it into account and doesn't do any negative impact.

So we've added with respect to that high-rise fuel sign that's not closer than 150 feet to any residentially zoned property, so it's half a football field away. So the base of that sign won't be very close at all to any residentially zoned properties. The supplementary regulation on signage in Article 5 and that's 5.403, there's just a couple of instances in the

ordinance where it talks about going over to the zoning board for approval.

2.1

And, for example, illuminated signs, it says, must be compatible as determined by the zoning board. This is going to be reviewed by the planning commission. So this just sets the framework for the use. The actual plan is going to come back, and it's going to be in front of the planning commission, again, which happened before. And then it's going to come back to council.

And, you know, we believe -- and I believe the County planning would agree that veering that off to the zoning board just to look at the illumination didn't make any sense. So that's been deleted, and council will decide whether that illumination's adequate. So that's been cleaned up.

The -- we also, in the supplementary regulations for off-street parking and loading, your ordinance has a 10-feet height limit for light fixtures. If we did that, one, it would be very effective, but it doesn't throw the light enough for vehicles. But it would also result in lots and lots of

1 lighting standards.

2.

2.1

2.3

So what we've done is to insert 30 feet so that they could be separated, and, again, there are light regulations that will protect the neighbors from being able to see and light coming off. But that provided the latitude in parking areas where you have enough height on your light so that you can place them in a reasonable separation.

The next one is Section 5.5. There are general standard maximum driveway widths in your ordinance, and I believe it's 24 feet, currently. And that just flat-out doesn't allow for tractor-trailers. That's not a big enough driveway for a tractor-trailer. So we've added that. That limitation doesn't apply to tractor-trailer trucks. But, again, those driveways will come before planning commission and council, and make sure they operate correctly and safely.

Then the last supplementary regulation is, again, similar to the previous item that I mentioned on going to the zoning hearing board. It talks about there being in the yard, planting and screening, they have

requirements. And the last sentence of section 5801 says that proposals for such screening shall be reviewed by the planning commission and where it's not effective shall refer to the zoning hearing board. Again, it should be the planning commission council that determines whether the screening is effective, rather than veering off to the zoning board.

2.1

2.3

So, again, it's cleaning it up, but
we'll stay on the planning commission and
council. I have to say, I don't know of any
situations, any ordinance in Allegheny
County or wherever that makes approvals for
site standards to go to the zoning board.
In fact, I don't think the zoning board has
the legal authority to do that. So that's a
clean-up item.

Then we have -- the last thing that we did is we looked at these uses in considering our neighbors and said, okay, whether this is at the site or not, one of the protections that we want to add in just so the members of the community and the residents aren't negatively impacted by

1 these uses.

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

2 So there are standards for gas stations with pump islands, have to have separations 3 from the right of way and from residential 4 5 lot lines. There are regulations on the canopies that they can be internally illuminated and not be considered signages. But a canopy lighting has to be recessed so 8 9 that the light source can't be seen off 10 site. If you've seen -- I've never 11 represented Sunoco, so I can blast them. 12 But it's the Sunoco canopies, they have 13 those lights that drop down, so they just 14 blare it wherever you are. So you won't be 15 able to see the light source. And of 16 course, if you have other light standards 17 you have to meet.

And there's not going to be any outdoor display, except if it's identified in the site plan. We see in here in the communities. There's just the temptation and the fall to put out the firewood, and the spring to put out the mulch.

And it doesn't look good. We have neighbors that live there, so it has to be

on the plan. If it's not on the plan and improvement screen, we just can't do it. If it's not on the plan and improvement screen, we just can't do it. It can't be jumped up.

And then there's no vehicle maintenance or repair. There's not going to be any noise with the gas station.

2.1

2.3

On the truck tractor-trailer fueling station, we've gone further. Separation distances from the public right of way and the residential lot lines are almost double, but actually more than double in each case. Same thing with the canopies, can't see the light source. Same thing with the storage. And then there was one other item.

And the fact is that there are diesel trucks. This is not going to be a truck stop. So we've added that, except whenever they are at the actual fueling station.

What we know is that trucks, whenever they refuel, they don't shut down the trucks if it's going to be for a refueling for a few minutes. There are major losses in heat and electricity and reheating. So -- and that's one of the reasons that you will see the

1 trucks idle all the time.

2.1

2.3

So if there are any of these parking areas or anywhere outside the fuel pumps, there will be no idling. Somebody wants to go in, driver wants to go in and go to the bathroom, they want to go in and have a sandwich, they have to shut the truck down.

So other than that idling and the fact that the Speedway -- and I don't know if the borough wants to be a truck stop or have them sleep there, that's the protection that we thought would make sense and be added in.

As Ms. Turnbull mentioned, that
Allegheny County has given comments. One of
them -- a couple of them I mentioned off the
bat. Comprehensive planning for the borough
is a result, and it's not unusual. It's
expensive. It's unusual, actually, that
comprehensive plans are updated, and this is
particularly old and it's recommended, in
fact, in P.C., they say you should do it as
part of the recommendation whenever you
consider an ordinance like that. We hope
this is an effort that spurs the borough to
look at its ordinance on a broader basis.

As I mentioned, the County has a

comment about the sign face maybe limiting

to 672 square feet or something like that.

Again, something you can live with. It's a

valid comment. We don't think there's that

much difference, and again, we're looking at

the Neville Island sign.

2.1

There's a question having to do if we intend to eliminate regulations on animation flashing signs -- no. What we did try to do is we know that the gas price signs will change periodically. They won't flash. But they will be -- obviously, if it's 90 feet in the air, somebody doesn't climb it, it's changed electronically. Same thing with the fueling signs and the monument sign. They will be changed remotely. That was the only purpose there, but there will be no flashing. And it wasn't the intent to do that.

There were comments about whenever I told you, the cases go over to the zoning board, and they're saying, well, it's still necessarily ambiguous.

And we don't disagree with it, but we

don't want to change it that much. We think
to have the planning commission and council
have some discretion on screening and
lighting is fine. So we actually want less
than the County's suggesting. On that same
thing, again, I mentioned the book, the
zoning ordinance.

2.1

2.3

And the interplay with the ordinance and the comprehensive plan. Obviously, this CSX facility wasn't envisioned when any of these plans or ordinances were drafted. And I think the idea is to incorporate that in not only your zoning ordinance, but in your subdivision and land development ordinance.

I just want to give you an idea of, you know, what we're talking about. This is a site plan -- and we're not here for the Speedway tonight.

And, you know, one of the things we recognize is, the Speedway is driving this, but you're not approving the Speedway. This is what we'll come back with next. So we don't want to in any way say, this is what it's going to be. This is what you're going to see later, and hopefully you'll like it.

But as you see, there's the railroad,

there's Island Avenue, Angelina, which we

still need to have dedicated and accepted,

which is a big part of one of the steps that

has to be done.

2.1

2.3

And, again, there's the green space, which is an opportunity -- we talked about doing things in here with maybe seating areas, bike storage or whatever. We've also talked with the County about putting a trail connection in there. We have a plan that would allow us to do that. The County's behind it. We want to talk with the planning commission and council about that.

We've also talked about ways to enhance the screening to the north of the site.

This is a detention facility, but the idea is that the truck traffic and car traffic will be focused on Angelina on the interior, and basically trying to keep the activity, the impacts on Angelina, toward the industrial part of the site, lower impacts toward Island and the commercial and residential piece of the site. So that's the overall scheme.

2 There's acreage to the west. And as you'll

There's all this acreage to the east.

3 see, this is the building that I was talking

4 about. This will be a speck building. The

5 light manufacturing building that will be

6 the kick starter for what we hope are

7 similar type of facilities throughout the

8 remaining 35 acres.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

So I know it's dry. It's not the most fascinating speech on explaining the ordinance, but that's what we need in order to keep it started to get back to the site plan. That was the rationale. And I'll be happy to answer any questions that you might have.

With me tonight is Tony Thomas

(phonetic), the applicant; and Bob with

Speedway; and Mark Zimmerman, who is the

site engineer. So we should be able to

answer anything that you may be interested

in. Thank you.

SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: Thank you.

Does council have any questions for the applicant or any of the applicant representatives with respect to the text

1	l amendment?

2.1

2.3

2 MARIBETH L. TAYLOR: I do.

You had commented that this new zoning ordinance is basically for the property and whatever's going to go there. One of the recommendations is for 110 -- a possible 110-foot sign.

so if we allow this 110-foot sign, and as five other businesses that go down there, possibly a woman's club, you know, where there's male strippers, or a gentlemen's club, where there's female strippers and someone decides to put up a 90-foot sign, within this ordinance that we're going to be expected to pass, how are we going to be able to deny those?

MR. SITTIG: We're going to do a dual sign, and it's also only for a tractor-trailer fueling station, which we really believe, although technically, there are other areas that might have it in the borough, it's most likely going to be the only tractor-trailer fueling station. So we believe this will be the only sign that's of this scale in the borough.

1 MARIBETH L. TAYLOR: So we're going to 2 spot zone, then, for one particular business. 3 PAUL KRISBY: You can't spot zone. 4 MARIBETH L. TAYLOR: Well, that's kind 5 of what it sounds like. PAUL KRISBY: No, it's not, because it 7 goes all the way down to the valleys. 8 SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: So one at a 9 10 time, questions and answers, and then we'll 11 get to our internal debate when the time 12 comes. 13 MR. SITTIG: Yeah, all I can say is 14 that we -- as I mentioned, we had a legal 15 challenge through the zoning board hearing. 16 And I did my best -- I'm not saying that I 17 haven't been wrong a lot -- but I did my 18 best to make sure that whatever's here would 19 stand the challenge. 20 I don't believe any of this is spot 2.1 zoning or violative of any -- well, the 22 County reviewed it, too, and they didn't 2.3 come up with any spot zoning. And they're

MARIBETH L. TAYLOR: Unfortunately, we

pretty careful --

24

	rage 5
1	never got a County report, at least I have
2	not seen a County report.
3	MR. SITTIG: I'd like to offer my
4	letter.
5	MARIBETH L. TAYLOR: It's something
6	that we should have been provided by the
7	borough, and we have not been.
8	SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: Any other
9	questions for the applicant about the text
10	amendment?
11	MS. MOYE: I have a question around
12	like, a public health question, and I don't
13	know if it's subject to put that kind of
14	question out here. But I know we're not
15	voting on Speedway tonight, and I get that.
16	And I'm not necessarily opposed to Speedway.
17	But my question is around the additional
18	anticipated 50,000 big rigs that are going
19	to come through McKees Rock, traffic
20	studies, air pollution studies.
21	Does that come before or after; has
22	anyone thought of that at all?
23	MR. SITTIG: Yeah. Whenever, as I
24	mentioned, that we already had previously
25	embarked on a land development application.

1 MS. MOYE: And I apologize because I 2 came to the party late, so. . . 3 MR. SITTIG: Yes, you are here. Ιt didn't make it to the folks that were here. 4 5 So you haven't seen any of that. But that's 6 part of it, the whole water, lighting, noise. 7 MS. MOYE: Air quality. 8 MR. SITTIG: Actually, you're not going 9 to see a lot of air quality, because that's 10 11 really beyond the purview here. We're not 12 -- we're not even -- we can answer how it's 13 going work, but you won't see any 14 engineering or study on air quality. 15 you will see traffic and lighting and those kinds of things and water -- storm water. 16 17 MS. MOYE: Yes, sir. 18 50,000 trucks --TONY: 19 MR. SITTIG: Identify yourself, please. 20 TONY: Tony. Training and commercial 21 development. The 50,000 trucks that you're 22 talking about --2.3 MS. MOYE: That are quoted in here. 24 Yeah. They're already generated TONY: 25 by CSX.

1 MS. MOYE: It's not additional? 2 TONY: No. 3 MS. MOYE: Okay. Thank you. MR. SITTIG: That was a projection that 4 5 the whole facility will generate. 6 Obviously, it's way under now because it's not being fully utilized. But it was 7 designed --8 9 ELIZABETH DELL: Again, Megan, too 10 soon. If we're talking about the same 11 trucks that are coming into CSX, why do we 12 need a 110-foot sign? 13 MR. SITTIG: Well, there are a couple 14 of things. What they're having to decide, 15 they're going to make a decision, even whenever they're coming into the driveway. 16 17 So -- and this may be decided whether they're going to have a sandwich, go to the 18 19 bathroom, fuel up. They have leeway, as I 20 understand it -- broad leeway to go down the 2.1 road. They're going to be passing other 22 facilities. 23 So we want them to decide -- when they 24 come off Island -- that they're going to go 25 in there, they know the price -- or they're

1 not -- we don't want them spinning around in 2 there. We don't want them backing up and saying, oh, my God, it's two cents more --3 less down the road. It is. Most of those 4 trucks will come off, and they'll know the 5 6 price of that sign. We're told that that's 7 a big decision-maker -- and I'm just reading the internet. We can all do the same thing. 8 9 It's a big factor. They will travel in their next distance to decide whether to 10 fuel there. 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

The factors in the neighborhood, we,

Speedway and we, borough, want them to spend
their money here, because they're going to
be there anyway, we want them to duck in and
we want them to see the price. The

Speedway, unlike some of my other clients,
they are in the business of refining gas.

They have an incentive to push diesel fuel.
So they are naturally very competitive on
price. So we want the people using CSX to
get the gas in the borough.

Some people will come off, they'll know the sign and see it coming onto the bridge and hopefully come in and get back up. But

	rage 30
1	we want them to see it and then and shop
2	and get a sandwich and a cup of coffee.
3	SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: Thank you.
4	Does anyone else have any questions?
5	MS. HARVEY: Yes. So this sentence
6	here says, The proposed use and location was
7	listed as a recommendation in the Urban Land
8	Institute report commissioned by the
9	independent McKees Rock community
10	development corporation.
11	So are you saying that the development
12	corporation is recommending this use or
13	this land, to be used and have a gas station
14	built there?
15	MR. SITTIG: I think it was a general
16	statement of what was overall recommended.
17	There was going to be necessarily high
18	volumes of truck trafficking. So all of
19	these logistical aspects of it, which are
20	distribution warehousing facilities that are
21	generally on the site, as well as support
22	services, such as gas, fueling, storage. So
23	it was one of the list of uses.
24	So, no, if the plan says we're going to
25	have a gas station not is it one of the

35-acre. Yeah, our position was, it was

intended to be here. This is one of the

24

	rage 5
1	range of uses that was intended.
2	SARAH HARVEY: Yeah, all of you. But I
3	don't think I can believe that they were
4	supporting that. But go on, go ahead.
5	MEGAN TURNBULL: Are there any other
6	questions for the applicant regarding the
7	text amendment being proposed?
8	MS. MOYE: I'm sorry, sir. You said
9	the air quality, the remediation, possible
10	potential impact to the air quality is not
11	something under your purview. So, then, how
12	would we rectify that?
13	MR. SITTIG: Well, it's sort of a range
14	of use I'll compare it to always thinking
15	that somebody watching a news channel,
16	somebody made an analogy. And it's like,
17	oh, we have to be careful in analogies.
18	But, as a general rule, we can take
19	drilling, for example, in fracking.
20	In order for a municipality we have
21	an environmental rights amendment that
22	argued when the courts have looked at it and
23	they said, well, maybe you can look at it.
24	But then you have no framework. The

municipality doesn't have a framework of air

1 quality ordinances. So the ones that we 2 have to comply with are state and federal. But there's no local framework for saying 3 you're independent -- like, you don't have 4 5 an air quality officer. You do have engineers that measure, you know, storm 7 water flow or that -- you have an authority that then handles sanitary flow. 8 So it's not as though -- it's just -- you don't --9 typically, municipalities don't have the 10 11 capability to get into air quality

regulations.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

MARIBETH L. TAYLOR: And can I just add to -- you brought up good things with the health issue. When we were going through the negotiations with CSX, there was a lot of studies done about them putting the intermodal hubs in. A lot of it was when their distance within residential areas with the all-night lighting, the all-night noise; you know, it has been proven, there's been tons and tons of studies -- and you mentioned -- and you can go on and it's not Bongiorno, it's on the internet. There are actual, you know, certified studies that

have been done that having these lights on all night long and the bearing down on residential areas causes learning disabilities in children, causes asthma, causes bronchitis, causes all kinds of stuff. You can laugh. But you know what, if you look at the studies and do your homework, you'll see these are all documented facts. 

2.1

So I think we need to look at the health of our children. We have Hayes Manor right here, which is not directly in the vicinity. But we also have people on Harriet Street, that if we're going to allow a 110-foot sign, if you have a 110-foot, I don't care if it's illuminated, if it's just straight lights, that sets off in a lot of areas, and people — that's going to be shining where people are seeing it, and it's going to cause health issues.

So, again, you know, I support a lot of this. But 110-foot sign, I don't want that to be what people see coming into McKees Rocks. And the McKees Rocks that I know, it used to be looking for the steeples of the

site is actually larger and in a better
location relative to traffic than that site.
But, yeah, in terms of the convenient
store, the size of that sign and the
fueling. But the fueling itself will be
much more relaxed; there's much more room.
There's areas for the trucks to pull off.
And, again, you're out at Neville
Island, you know, that that driveway is
combined with the passenger vehicles and the
trucks. And it's a very wide driveway, so
there's a lot going on there.
So it's actually so it's a little
from a business standpoint, how it's
operated is similar, but that's the site
because of the location and the size.
MS. MOYE: But will the convenience
store be the same size?
MR. SITTIG: The convenience store,
itself, will be the same square footage;
although, the architecture will be somewhat
different. And I think we'll get into that
in the planning.
And then, obviously, if you have

interest in looking differently, too --

	Page 4
1	that'll be up to you.
2	MS. MOYE: And is there no engine
3	idling at that location as well, only when
4	they're getting fuel?
5	MR. SITTIG: There are no restrictions
6	there.
7	MS. MOYE: Okay. So do you all propose
8	to have signs that say, no engine idling
9	except for under the canopies at this
10	location in McKees Rocks?
11	MR. SITTIG: Yeah, we'll get into sort
12	of the details on that. What we wanted to
13	do is, in the ordinance, there's an
14	enforcement mechanism. And you'll want to
15	say if you have something, you can go to
16	the zoning officer and actually go to the
17	magistrate and say, you know, it's here.
18	Then we'll have signage.

Hopefully, we expect to have a developer's agreement where we say something, like, if we enforce it and you do this, if you don't monitor it, if you're going to pay for our attorney fees, too.

So this is the first level of saying There are going to be between signage no.

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 and then enforcement and developer's 2 agreement and conditions of the approval. Hopefully, we're going to set up a mechanism 3 that has some --4 5 JOE MIXTER: Were you referring to the 6 hundred thousand dollar study that the CDC did where, I believe, on page 6, they 7 recommend a gas station for that facility? 8 MR. SITTIG: Well, yeah, it's my 9 10 understanding, I wasn't around then, but 11 that's where that was -- that information, 12 what I can tell you is, my summary is 13 review -- was based on that document. 14 JOE MIXTER: Right. That's where I 15 thought it came from. MR. SITTIG: Now, since I wasn't there, 16 17 if that document's in error, I might have 18 made an error, but that's what I base it on. 19 JOE MIXTER: Yeah. It's \$100,000 20 grant, the CDC is going on recommendation 2.1 for that area. 22 MR. SITTIG: Yeah. And as you notice, 23 I'm just citing that document. I'm not 24 citing the truth of it. I don't know. 25 That's what somebody said.

JOE MIXTER: And Pepsi has one of them

canopies, and it shows the ground. And if

you stand out here, you can see that the

ground up, but it's winding.

MR. SITTIG: Well, that's one of the things. Look, admittedly, that sign is a challenge. No, there's not going to be any -- the idea is to see it, but it's not glaring. It's not -- it's directing light.

JOE MIXTER: Right. They have one right down the road here.

MR. SITTIG: And, again, when we look at that, hopefully we'll find ways to do it. One of the things I talk with clients about is my job; it's easier if we don't propose a sign. It's easier to get done. It's not something you have to deal with. But the worst thing to do -- and it's something that we struggle with.

I've been on zoning boards in my own town. In fact, Hartley (phonetic) came in himself at one time and said, I really need this. We were over at the Wexford exit, it's right next to T-bones -- well, it's out of business now, but Hartley came in himself

one night and he says, you know, you think

it's a great location, but people don't know

we're there. They're passing it up and we

want to be successful.

2.1

The last thing we want is to build it and it not work. So it's a tough call. You can say you can operate without it. You know, that's not a good idea. The idea is that these are going to serve diesel fuels. We're not going to put gas -- I don't care about the gas, but the diesel trucks, that's what this is, and we wouldn't be asking for it if we didn't think it was important and the liability of it.

I'd like to eliminate it if I could, quite frankly. But they think it's important. What we'll do, just so we can come in with that hype, we'll actually have studies. And, like I said, we think we'll be 20 or 30 feet lower, and within that sign, be able to shrink it and have other controls on it. But, again, this is just the basic framework, and we'll come back to you and we should be able to control it more.

	1490 1
1	SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: Thank you.
2	Can you identify your name and address for
3	the transcript.
4	SAMANTHA LACEY: I live at 219 Greydon
5	Avenue, McKees Rocks.
6	I have, like, a statement almost, more
7	of a question, with, like, a question mark.
8	You mentioned studies on small children
9	and, you know and whatnot. Okay, so I
10	know for a fact, you know, just from being
11	on the school board, that, you know, you had
12	to get this authorized. I've had no
13	dealings with the letter, but I know of the
14	figure of how much the school district is
15	losing with nothing being on there
16	currently.
17	Right now, from what I've seen today,
18	about eight months ago, it will be \$250,000
19	that the students collecting off of the
20	zoned property. I just wanted to put that
21	in there. If it doesn't collect any more,
22	we'll probably be at a loss by next year,
23	probably about 300 bucks that's not going to
24	mental health issues.
25	Affording teachers, paying them, our

1 professionals are huge. We can't even 2 compete with other districts. I guess my question would be, if not this, what -- do 3 you have other opportunities lined up so 4 that the school district can re-enforce 5 their taxes on this property? That's my statement. SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: Thank you. 8 9 Okay. So it sounds like we're kind of moving into the -- like, the public address, 10 11 too. 12 SAMANTHA LACEY: I'm sorry. 13 SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: No, that's 14 perfectly fine. 15 Is there anyone else who has a comment 16 to deliver to council with respect to the 17 text amendment? And I'm going to ask, just 18 because it's difficult to hear the folks in 19 the back. If you can make the long walk up 20 to the microphone to identify yourself, I 2.1 would greatly appreciate that. 22 TERRANCE STUBBS: My name is Terrance 2.3 Stubbs. (Inaudible). 24 SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: We can't

25

hear you.

	1 490
1	The speaker is not on.
2	MS. MOYE: He's not speaking about the
3	proper text amendment.
4	SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: They're
5	going to continue to discuss this, and then,
6	Megan, we'll make you first, I promise.
7	TERRANCE STUBBS: Tell me to come back
8	up, please.
9	SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: I'm sorry.
10	Right now, just for clarification, we're
11	just talking about the text amendment, and
12	we're going to kind of work through that,
13	close the record, and then council's going
14	to talk about it a little bit.
15	So is there anyone else who has any
16	comments that they want for council to
17	consider? Yes.
18	JEAN HUGHES: Hi. My name is Jean
19	Hughes.
20	Do you want me to come up?
21	I don't understand what all this is
22	about. I mean, I was on the school board,
23	okay, when this was okayed. That land has
24	stood vacant since. I live two streets away
25	from where this is going to take place. I

1 put up with -- I'm on School Street right in 2 front of COGOs. I have BP. I have all of that around me. The weekends are terrible. 3 All I need to say is, please, we need 4 5 the revenue for the school district. 6 need extra police. Why are we dragging our 7 feet? Please, let these people in. 8 SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: And can you 9 give your name general just one more time. 10 JEAN HUGHES: Jean Hughes. 11 KATE O'BRIEN: I just have a question 12 about the idling diesels. He said the --13 wait. They must idle when they're refueling 14 because -- so nothing shuts down, so -- not 15 idle, but keep their engines going. But, 16 then, whenever they go to get their sandwich 17 and go to the bathroom, they shut down. Ι don't get this. If they can't shut down to 18 19 refuel, how can they shut down to park? 20 doesn't make any sense to me because still 2.1 everything, then, is going to shut down. 22 That's all the questions. 2.3 SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: Thank you, 24 Ms. O'Brien.

MR. SITTIG: It would be not that

different than if you, as a vehicle, you
went into the Speedway, you would shut your
car off. I hate to say it, I'm a violator.

2.1

I know you're supposed to -- but it's
-- whenever you're refueling, it's a shorter
activity, it's right there.

When I fuel my car, I keep my car running.

If you're getting it out and you're getting into the store for something else for longer activity, you shut it off. So we think the tendency is more so with diesel, to keep it running. That's what happens.

That's the reality. Truckers tend to keep their trucks running, and we want to make sure if they're not fueling, the trucks get shut off.

SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: Thank you.

Is there anyone else? Seeing none, we're going to close the testimony portion and evidentiary portion of this hearing. I thank everyone for those comments and their input. This is, then, a time for council -- you have a range of options available to you. You can, if you're ready to adjudicate and make a motion -- I mean, if there's

	Page 5.
1	anyone who wants to make a motion, you can
2	do that now.
3	We can adjourn to executive session to
4	talk about it, or we can we have a short
5	time period where we can come back on a
6	different day and at a publicly
7	advertised meeting do something about it,
8	but that'll require additional legal
9	advertisement to do that.
10	JOE MIXTER: I make a motion to vote on
11	it.
12	CRAIG MEYERS: I second it.
13	SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: For purposes
14	of clarification, is it a motion to adopt
15	the amendment as proposed?
16	CRAIG MEYERS: Yes.
17	SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: So everyone
18	understands what the motion is?
19	MS. MOYE: Yes.
20	SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: Now, it's
21	appropriate to have a discussion regarding
22	that action item. So does anyone have any
23	comments?
24	MARIBETH L. TAYLOR: I agree that, you
25	know what, we do need to get a tax base.

that I'm not opposing a hundred percent of

Speedway or any other business that wants to

go down there. I do oppose a 110-foot sign.

And I agree we need to get a tax base, and

2.1

I'm sorry, I don't know how many of you drive across, you know, 79, and that's the first thing you see is the gas sign.

And, I'm sorry, I find it appalling -if we can maybe go down to 60-foot, I could
be happy with that. I'm not fighting
against everything. I just think that we're
opening up a can of worms to allow one
business, whether it be a Speedway or
anyone -- any other fueling station, to put
up 110-foot sign.

You know, two years ago, we had a business on Island Avenue right by the McKees Rocks bridge, parking a limousine there, with a silhouette on top of the limousine of a woman. We had so many complaints from residents and people driving by that that was offensive. I know we're talking about a sign with a price on it, but I'm telling you, we did a lot of research when CSX came in, and that light does cause

- a lot of health problems. That's what I'm opposed to.
- ELIZABETH DELGADO: I have some

  comments. Again, I'm not a hundred percent

  popposed to a Speedway, but I do have -
  first, I still have an issue with us making

  zoning changes at a time when we've already

  paid to do a comprehensive rezoning. And my

  understanding is, it will be done before the

  end of the year.

2.1

And so to me, we're having a corporation come in and zone our borough when, in fact, we're already paying for people who are experts in the area to work with us to rezone our borough. So I have that objection.

I also -- I think I also read that full report, and I think there's a big difference between a gas station and a tractor-trailer fueling station. And that's obvious by the fact that we have to redefine it in the ordinance, that they are two very different things.

I'm not sure that it's the best use of the land. And my bigger fear is that it's

going to drive not the best use of the rest
of the land, that rather than getting the
light manufacturing and the job creation
into the rest of area, we're going to get
distribution, which is going to be more
trucks, no more jobs, and those kinds of
things.

2.1

2.3

And I did bring for council -- I didn't know I'd have this big of an audience -- an article that was just in the Business Times about Brook Business Park in Stowe; instead of McKees Rocks, but in Stowe. And it's talking about the high demand of light industrial parks right now, and if they build it, they'll be filled. And this is the second one that's gone up in Stowe in a year, and they're selling out. And that's what we need to be doing with the land.

And so I object to using it as a fueling station or in any way driving it towards only CSX-oriented businesses. And I'll throw in my objection to a 110-foot sign as well and the pollution. I just think they're going to be huge problems, and I'm not sure that the residents who are

eager for this -- and I know, we do need the tax base.

2.1

2.3

And this is an answer to Samantha's question to, if not this, what? Light industrial that's ready to come in here, and we've had inquiries. We've had people ready to come in here.

And so that's between Trinity

Development and the people, not us,

unfortunately. So -- but they have been

here. They've been ready to come. And

they're going to Stowe instead of coming

here.

So those -- that's my comments.

SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: Okay. Thank you, Councilwoman. Is there anyone else who wants to --

MARIBETH L. TAYLOR: I have one more comment. We had been told when this first issue arose not— anything to do with Speedway, but with the re-zoning itself. We were explained what the process was, it would go to the planning commission. We would get, you know, a reading or a decision from the planning commission. Then it got

sent to the County, and we would then be given the decision from the County so we could review.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

We were promised as council people that we would have a copy of the decision from the County at least two weeks before we were requested to make any vote on any decision. I don't know about anybody else on this board, and I'd love to see a show of hands, but I have personally not seen a decision from the planning commission or from the County. Has anybody else seen that paperwork? No. I have not been provided them in ample time to review to make an educated decision in McKees Rocks, as far as the zoning. Not as far as Speedway, but as far as this whole zoning goes. And I know we have a motion and a second.

I would like to request a motion to table this and vote next month just so we can all do our due diligence, give the decision from the County, read it, and then make educated votes on the information provided to all of us and not just some of you us.

1 SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: So a motion to table. It requires a second. 2 3 ELIZABETH DELGADO: Second. SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: A motion table is a procedural nondebatable motion. 5 So let's do a rollcall on the motion to table. MS. WOZNIAK: Council Mixter. JOE MIXTER: No. 9 10 MS. WOZNIAK: Council Harvey. 11 SARAH HARVEY: Yes. 12 MS. WOZNIAK: Council Meyers. 13 CRAIG MEYERS: No. 14 MS. WOZNIAK: Vice President, Evich. 15 VICE PRESIDENT EVICH: No. 16 MS. WOZNIAK: President Krisby. 17 PRESIDENT KRISBY: No. 18 MS. WOZNIAK: Council Gee. 19 LESLIE GEE: No. 20 MS. WOZNIAK: Council Taylor. 2.1 MARIBETH L. TAYLOR: Yes. 22 MS. WOZNIAK: Council Delgado. 2.3 ELIZABETH DELGADO: Yes. 24 MS. WOZNIAK: Council Moye. 25 MS. MOYE: I'm actually going to say

	1 490	
1	no.	
2	SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: So now it's	S
3	5-4 okay 6-3. So motion to table	
4	fails. The primary motion on table is a	
5	motion to adopt the zoning ordinance text	
6	amendment as presented.	
7	Are there any other comments or any	
8	other motions with respect to the primary	
9	motion?	
10	MARIBETH L. TAYLOR: You know, you go	t
11	to expect it from me. Sorry, guys. I will	1
12	make a motion to accept the text amendment	
13	the way it is, excluding the 110-foot sign	•
14	SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: So what the	at
15	functionally is, is the motion to amend the	9
16	primary motion. The okay. So we need	а
17	second for that to keep going.	
18	MS. MOYE: Second.	
19	SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: So there is	S
20	a motion to amend the proposed text	
21	amendment to take out the references to the	Э
22	sign; is that correct?	
23	MARIBETH L. TAYLOR: Correct.	
24	SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: So a motion	n

to amend is a debatable motion.

SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: If it's for the amendment.

amended.

22

25 ELIZABETH DELGADO: That there will be

	1490
1	an amendment.
2	SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: So what
3	happens okay. So if you say yes, that
4	means that you want the thing that council
5	considers, the primary motion, to be
6	amended, to take out the references to the
7	high fuel station signage.
8	ELIZABETH DELGADO: Better. Thank you.
9	SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: Any other
10	questions does anyone have a question
11	about what we're doing right now?
12	JOE MIXTER: Just a regular amendment
13	now.
14	CRAIG MEYERS: Voting on the sign.
15	SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: You're
16	voting whether or not you want to take out
17	the signage issue, okay, the tall pole
18	signage.
19	MS. WOZNIAK: Do we have a first?
20	Second was councilman Moye?
21	SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: Good for
22	your minutes?
23	MS. WOZNIAK: Sure.
24	SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: Roll call,
25	please.

	Page 62
1	MS. WOZNIAK: Council Mixter.
2	JOE MIXTER: No.
3	MS. WOZNIAK: Council Harvey.
4	SARAH HARVEY: Yes.
5	MS. WOZNIAK: Council Meyers.
6	CRAIG MEYERS: No.
7	MS. WOZNIAK: Vice President, Evich.
8	VICE PRESIDENT EVICH: No.
9	MS. WOZNIAK: President Krisby.
10	PRESIDENT KRISBY: No.
11	MS. WOZNIAK: Council Gee.
12	LESLIE GEE: No.
13	MS. WOZNIAK: Council Taylor.
14	MARIBETH L. TAYLOR: Yes.
15	MS. WOZNIAK: Council Delgado.
16	ELIZABETH DELGADO: Yes.
17	MS. WOZNIAK: Council Moye.
18	MS. MOYE: Yes.
19	SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: So the
20	motion to amend fails. We can go back to
21	the primary motion, and that primary motion
22	is whether or not to accept the text
23	amendment as presented by the applicant.
24	Is there any other discussion or other
25	motions that anyone wants to make with

1 respect to primary motion? PAUL KRISBY: 2 No. 3 SOLICITOR MEGAN TURNBULL: Seeing none, all those in favor -- well, can you do the roll call for us -- all those in favor. And 5 this will be for the -- to adopt the text amendment as presented by the applicant, 7 okay? 8 MS. WOZNIAK: Council Mixter. 9 10 JOE MIXTER: As is, yes. 11 MS. WOZNIAK: Council Harvey. 12 SARAH HARVEY: No. 13 MS. WOZNIAK: Council Meyers. 14 CRAIG MEYERS: Yes. 15 MS. WOZNIAK: Vice President, Evich. 16 VICE PRESIDENT EVICH: Yes. 17 MS. WOZNIAK: President Krisby. 18 PRESIDENT KRISBY: Yes. 19 MS. WOZNIAK: Council Gee. 20 LESLIE GEE: Yes. 2.1 MS. WOZNIAK: Council Taylor. 22 MARIBETH L. TAYLOR: No. 2.3 MS. WOZNIAK: Council Delgado. 24 ELIZABETH DELGADO: No. 25 MS. WOZNIAK: Council Moye.

		Page	65
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE		
2			
3			
4			
5	I, Faye Ann Lehman, do hereby certify	that	
6	the foregoing 64 pages are a true and correct		
7	transcription of my stenographic notes.		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13	Eave Ann Lohman Court Departer		
14	Faye Ann Lehman, Court Reporter My Commission Expires June 20, 2020		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			